PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	0	1	JUL	2005
-------	---	---	-----	------

WIPO PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference SMC 60613WO FO		FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/PEA/418		
		International filing date 23.07.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25.07.2003		
Inter CO	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C07D207/34, C07D309/10, C07D309/30, C07D405/06					
	licant ECIA PHARMACEUTICALS LIN	/ITED et al				
1.	This report is the international pro Authority under Article 35 and tra	eliminary examination re	port, established by	this International Preliminary Examining		
2.	-					
з.	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:					
	a. Dent to the applicant and			s. as follows:		
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
	b. (sent to the International I sequence listing and/or ta Box Relating to Sequence	vies relateu marain. In <i>c</i>	nmniitar reedenia ta	nber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a rm only, as indicated in the Supplemental ve Instructions).		
4.	This report contains indications re	elating to the following i	ems:			
	☑ Box No. I Basis of the op	inion				
1	☐ Box No. II Priority					
	☐ Box No. III Non-establishn	nent of opinion with rega	urd to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability		
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of			To the modernal applicability		
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
]	Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
;	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observ	ations on the internation	al application			
Date	of submission of the demand		Date of completion o	f this report		
14.	14.12.2004		30.06.2005			
Nam preli	Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:		Authorized Officer	Date.		
	European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5230 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	356 epmu d	Telephone No. +49 8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003206

	Box No. I	Basis of the report					
_							
1.	With regard filed, unles	Vith regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it wated, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	inte	eport is based on translations from the original language into the following language, is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) blication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)					
2.	2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):						
Description, Pages							
	1-12	as originally filed					
	Claims, Nu	mbers					
1-14		as originally filed					
	□ a sequ	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing					
3.	☐ the☐ the☐ the☐ the☐	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of: description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (specify): related to sequence listing (specify):					
4.	Supplement the the the the	eport has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below en made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ital Box (Rule 70.2(c)). description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
		em 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003206

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-14

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-14

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-14

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

PCT/GB2004/003206

Re Item V.

The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1: WOA-92/06968 (30 April 1992);

D2: WO-A-2004/027075 (1 April 2004);

D3: WO-A-2004/096788 (11 November 2004);

The current assessment is based on the assumption that all claims enjoy priority rights from the filing date of the priority document(s).

If it later turns out that this is not correct, the documents **D2** and **D3** as cited in the International Search Report could become relevant.

1. NOVELTY (Article 33(2) PCT):

The present application satisfies the criterion set forth in Article 33(2) PCT because the subject-matter of **claims 1-14** is new in respect of prior art as defined in the regulations (Rule 64(1)-(3) PCT):

The process of the present independent claim 7 is novel over the process of the prior art D1 on account of the starting material, i.e. the *compound of formula (3)* (cf., the present 2-(2-aminoethyl)-4-hydroxy-6-(oxo- or hydroxy-)-tetrahydropyran derivative of the general formula (3) and the 'butyl 6-(2-aminoethyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane-4-acetate of the example 4 (Step A) of D1).

The process of the present independent claim 1 comprises the process of the present claim 7 (cf., the process step c)) and is therefore also novel over D1.

The present independent compound **claim 11** relates to **novel** compounds of formula (3) (see, above) and the present independent process **claim 5** to a process for their preparation.

The present independent compound **claim 8** relates to **novel** precursors of the novel compounds of formula (3) (which also comprise the structural feature which distinguishes the compounds of formula (3) from the prior art (cf., the *4-oxy-2-(oxo- or oxy-)-tetrahydropyran* ring)) and the present independent process **claim 4** to a process for their preparation.

The present independent compound **claim 14** is directed to certain **novel** compounds of formula (5) which are useful in the preparation of the compounds of formula (7) according to the novel process of the present claim 1.

2. INVENTIVE STEP (Article 33(3) PCT):

The present application also satisfies the criterion set forth in Article 33(3) PCT because the subject-matter of **claims 1-14** appears to involve an inventive step (Rule 65(1)(2) PCT):

Document **D1** - which is considered to represent the **closest prior art** - teaches (cf., the example 4) a process for the preparation of the compound 5-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-(1-methylethyl)-N,4-diphenyl-1-[2-(4-hydroxy-6-oxo-2H-tetrahydro-pyran-2-yl)ethyl]-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide which comprises the steps of

- (i) coupling the compound 'butyl 6-(2-aminoethyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane-4-acetate with 4-fluoro-α-(2-methyl-1-oxopropyl)-γ-oxo-N,β-diphenyl-benzene-butaneamide (cf., Step A), and
- (ii) deprotecting and hydrolysing the so obtained (4R-cis)-*buty/ 6-[2[2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-(1-methylethyl)-3-phenyl-4-[(phenylamino)carbonyl]-1H-pyrrol-1-yl]ethyl]-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane-4-acetate (cf., Step B).

The process of the present claim 7 differs from the process of **D1** essentially in that the diketone of formula (4) (cf., the 4-fluoro- α -(2-methyl-1-oxopropyl)- γ -oxo-N, β -diphenyl-benzene-butaneamide of **D1**) is coupled with a 2-(2-aminoethyl)-4-hydroxy-6-(oxo- or hydroxy-)-tetrahydropyran derivative (cf., the compound of formula (3)).

In the light of the prior art **D1** the **problem** underlying **claim 7** of the present application resides in the provision of a <u>further</u> process for the preparation of 2-[(2-(pyrrol-1-yl)ethyl]-4-hydroxy-6-oxo-tetrahydropyran derivatives.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003206

This problem has been solved by the process of the present claim 7 (cf., the present examples 5-9).

As the available prior art does not suggest the use of 2-(2-aminoethyl)-4-hydroxy-6-(oxo- or hydroxy-)-tetrahydropyran derivatives for the preparation of the 2-[(2-(pyrrol-1-yl)ethyl]-4-hydroxy-6-oxo-tetrahydropyran derivatives of the present formula (5) it is considered that the subject-matter of the present claim 7 involves an inventive step as set forth in Article 33(3) PCT.

The present independent process **claim 1** comprises the coupling step according to the present claim 7 and is therefore also considered to meet the criteria of Article 33(3) PCT.

The present independent compound **claims 8**, **11** and **14** relate to (key) intermediates of the (inventive) process of the present claim 1 (*all* comprising the structural feature which distinguishes the present intermediates from the *2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane-4-acetate* intermediates of the prior art (cf., the *4-oxy-6-(oxo- or oxy-)-tetrahydropyran* ring of the present compounds of formulae (2), (3) and (5))) and are therefore also considered to involve an inventive step.

The present independent process **claims 4** and **5** are directed to the preparation of the (inventive) intermediates of the present claims 8 and 11 and are therefore also considered to involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

3. INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY (Article 33(4) PCT):

The subject-matter of the present claims 1-14 concerns chemical processes and chemical compounds and is therefore considered to be industrial applicable in the sense of Article 33(4) PCT.

4. MISCELLANEOUS:

- 4.1. The document D1 should have been cited (Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT).
- 4.2. There is an inconsistency in the definition of the substituent group R³ in the present claims 2 (cf., page 14, line 17), 7 (cf., page 17, line 11) and 14 (cf., page 18, line 21): "...R³ represents an *aryl* group, preferably a *4-fluoro*phenyl group...". The term "aryl" as used in the art refers to aromatic *hydrocarbon* groups, i.e., not to *substituted* phenyl groups such as a *4-fluoro*phenyl group.
- 4.3. The explanations of the terms

"alkyl" (cf., page 2, line 20: "...the alkyl group may be cyclic...",

"alkenyl" (cf., page 3, line 1: "The alkenyl group may carry one or more substituents...", and

"aryl" (cf., page 3, line 4: "...which may include cycloalkyl, aryl or *heterocyclic* rings..."),

as given in the description (cf. the passages as indicated hereinbefore) do not harmonize with the usual meaning of these terms as commonly accepted in the art.

The person skilled in the art would not understand

- (i) the term "alkyl" as also including cyclic moieties (the latter would be designated as "cycloalkyl" groups rather than "alkyl" groups),
- (ii) the term "alkenyl" (which is used in the art to describe unsaturated alkyl groups) as also including *substituted* alkenyl groups,
- (iii) the term "aryl" (which is used in the art to describe aromatic *hydrocarbon* groups) as also including *hetero-*fused aryl groups.

This creates an inconsistency between the claims and the description, which leads to a doubt concerning the extent of protection afforded by the claims, thus rendering the claims unclear (Article 6 PCT).

The same observation applies to the passage on page 3, lines 7-14 of the present description according to which the "hydrocarbyl groups" R¹ and R³ may be substituted (the term "hydrocarbyl" as used in the present claims refers to a hydrocarbon radical, i.e., not to a substituted hydrocarbon radical).

4.4. The statement on page 6, line 16 concerning the incorporation of a chemistry text book is obviously irrelevant and unnecessary in the sense of Rule 9.1(iv) PCT.